



# VISUAL EVALUATION OF BEEF TENDERNESS BY USING SURFACE STRUCTURAL OBSERVATIONS AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO MEAT COLOUR

Presenter: Ms KY Modika

12<sup>th</sup> Meat Symposium  
7 November 2014

# Introduction

- Meat tenderness is the most important meat quality assessment attribute among consumers
- Consumers use visible fat and meat colour to make a purchase decision.
- But this is not an indication of non-visual attributes such as tenderness and juiciness.
- If the connection between visual surface structural properties of meat can be an indication of non-visual attributes it could be used as an additional measure by experienced classifiers.
- Consumers can also be educated accordingly to be able to classify the tenderness of meat.

# AIMS

- Determine the possibility to predict beef tenderness by means of visual analysis
- Determine the possibility of evaluating meat colour by visual analysis
- Determine the possibility of a relationship between visual and non-visual measurements (Colour and tenderness)
- Determine if an association exists between visual colour and tenderness

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Fifty steers (Brahman, Angus, Charolais, Bonsmara and Nguni), 10 steers per genotype
- Right sides of carcasses were electrically stimulated (20 s, 400 V peak, 5ms pulses at 15 pulses/s).
- Left sides were step-wise chilled (cold room 10 °C for 6 hours, then  $\pm 2$  °C chiller).
- Steaks were sampled from Mm *longissimus dorsi* and aged for 3 d on polystyrene plates (6 °C), and for 9, 14 and 20 d in vacuum bags (4 °C).

- Visual analysis were evaluated by a 10 member trained panel using a questionnaire developed at ARC-Irene (Annual book of ASTM Standards)
- Steaks were evaluated for:
  - Visual colour, marbling (1- 8), surface texture (1-6), fiber separation (1-6) and structure integrity (1-4)
  - Instrumental colour using Minolta meter for L\*, a\*, b\* values, chroma and hue
  - Tenderness by WBSF
- Data were analysed by ANOVA
- Correlations were determined by Pearson's correlation coefficients

Table 1: The effect of beef breed on colour coordinates ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ ,  $b^*$ , Chroma, hue angle), WBSF and visual attributes (colour, marbling, fiber separation, surface texture and structure integrity) of LD.

	Beef breeds					SEM <sup>1</sup>	P-Value
	Angus	Bonsmara	Brahman	Charolais	Nguni		
$L^*$	40.42 <sup>b</sup>	41.35 <sup>b</sup>	43.95 <sup>a</sup>	41.06 <sup>b</sup>	37.09 <sup>c</sup>	5.944	<.0001
$a^*$	14.19 <sup>a</sup>	12.63 <sup>b</sup>	13.22 <sup>ab</sup>	10.98 <sup>c</sup>	10.92 <sup>c</sup>	3.494	<.0001
$b^*$	8.69 <sup>b</sup>	8.175 <sup>b</sup>	9.89 <sup>a</sup>	6.70 <sup>c</sup>	6.05 <sup>c</sup>	3.537	<.0001
Chroma	16.70 <sup>a</sup>	15.12 <sup>b</sup>	16.58 <sup>a</sup>	12.95 <sup>c</sup>	12.57 <sup>c</sup>	4.602	<.0001
Hue	1.49 <sup>b</sup>	1.41 <sup>b</sup>	1.08 <sup>c</sup>	1.57 <sup>b</sup>	1.81 <sup>a</sup>	0.651	<.0001
WBSF	4.06 <sup>b</sup>	4.73 <sup>a</sup>	4.17 <sup>ab</sup>	4.43 <sup>ab</sup>	3.86 <sup>b</sup>	2.117	<.0001
Colour <sup>2</sup>	5.30 <sup>b</sup>	4.92 <sup>b</sup>	4.24 <sup>c</sup>	5.09 <sup>b</sup>	6.33 <sup>a</sup>	5.258	<.0001
Marbling <sup>2</sup>	2.06 <sup>ab</sup>	1.91 <sup>bc</sup>	1.79 <sup>c</sup>	2.18 <sup>a</sup>	2.25 <sup>a</sup>	2.352	<.0001
Fiber separation <sup>2</sup>	2.38 <sup>a</sup>	2.21 <sup>bc</sup>	2.16 <sup>bc</sup>	2.26 <sup>ab</sup>	2.05 <sup>c</sup>	1.705	0.0026
Surface texture <sup>2</sup>	2.59 <sup>a</sup>	2.39 <sup>bc</sup>	2.29 <sup>c</sup>	2.50 <sup>ab</sup>	2.30 <sup>c</sup>	1.481	0.0001
Structure integrity <sup>2</sup>	2.57 <sup>a</sup>	2.34 <sup>b</sup>	2.32 <sup>b</sup>	2.45 <sup>ab</sup>	2.05 <sup>c</sup>	1.893	<.0001

Table 2: The effect of ageing/packaging on colour coordinates ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ ,  $b^*$ , Chroma and hue angle), WBSF and visual attributes (colour, marbling, fiber separation, surface texture and structure integrity of LD steaks).

Ageing/Packaging						
	3dpm	9dpm	14dpm	20dpm	SEM <sup>1</sup>	P-Value
$L^*$	41.25 <sup>a</sup>	40.57 <sup>b</sup>	40.61 <sup>b</sup>	40.84 <sup>b</sup>	1.292	0.0007
$a^*$	11.12 <sup>d</sup>	13.36 <sup>a</sup>	12.94 <sup>b</sup>	12.26 <sup>c</sup>	1.302	<.0001
$b^*$	9.17 <sup>a</sup>	7.59 <sup>b</sup>	7.64 <sup>b</sup>	7.45 <sup>b</sup>	0.852	<.0001
chroma	14.49 <sup>b</sup>	15.40 <sup>a</sup>	15.10 <sup>a</sup>	14.44 <sup>b</sup>	1.399	<.0001
Hue	0.97 <sup>c</sup>	1.66 <sup>a</sup>	1.63 <sup>ab</sup>	1.58 <sup>b</sup>	0.195	<.0001
WBSF	5.60 <sup>a</sup>	4.42 <sup>b</sup>	3.76 <sup>c</sup>	3.21 <sup>d</sup>	0.550	<.0001
Colour <sup>2</sup>	4.51 <sup>b</sup>	5.40 <sup>a</sup>	5.41 <sup>a</sup>	5.46 <sup>a</sup>	2.135	<.0001
Marbling <sup>2</sup>	1.64 <sup>c</sup>	2.04 <sup>b</sup>	2.17 <sup>b</sup>	2.33 <sup>a</sup>	1.590	<.0001
Fiber separation <sup>2</sup>	1.74 <sup>b</sup>	2.40 <sup>a</sup>	2.37 <sup>a</sup>	2.35 <sup>a</sup>	1.183	<.0001
Surface texture <sup>2</sup>	2.24 <sup>b</sup>	2.49 <sup>a</sup>	2.50 <sup>a</sup>	2.44 <sup>a</sup>	1.079	<.0001
Structure integrity <sup>2</sup>	1.72 <sup>d</sup>	2.18 <sup>c</sup>	2.60 <sup>b</sup>	2.93 <sup>a</sup>	1.311	<.0001



Table 3: Matrix showing correlation coefficients of colour coordinates (L\*, a\*, b\*, Chroma, hue angle) and WBSF and ratings of visual of LD steaks.

	Colour	Marbling	Fibre separation	Surface texture	Structure integrity
L*	-0.809	-0.193	0.067	-0.060	0.080
a*	-0.185	0.005	0.232	0.079	0.157
b*	-0.698	-0.289	-0.095	-0.142	-0.106
Chroma	-0.428	-0.123	0.115	-0.008	0.063
Hue	0.797	0.359	0.250	0.219	0.267
Shear force(Kg)	-0.242	-0.312	-0.401	-0.125	-0.410



# CONCLUSION

- It is possible to judge meat colour visually through proper training.
- It could be difficult to predict meat tenderness visually by using surface structural observations (FS and SI) but there is potential.
- It is clear from the results that there is very little relationship between meat colour and tenderness.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- The ARC, MIT and RMRDTSA for facilities and financial support.
- Jocelyn Anderson and Hanlie Snyman from ARC-API
- Feedlot and abattoir personnel of ARC-API