



RED MEAT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SA

FINAL REPORT Guidelines 2018-2020

FINAL REPORT - GUIDE TO AUTHORS

GENERAL INFORMATION

- Please read the document: APPLICATION FOR FUNDING Guidelines 2018-2020 for more information on the whole process.
- Please note that if the Final report is completed incorrect, it could be rejected and re-submission will then be required.
- Complete all sections in the Final Report.
- Attach all required documents to this form as Addendums
- All documents need to be submitted electronically via email

COMPLETING THE FINAL REPORT

1. IDENTIFICATION

Please note that this section duplicates the information which was provided in the project proposal and progress reports. If the project hasn't changed, section 1. IDENTIFICATION can be copied from the approved Project Proposal, with the exception of point 1.11 DATE OF SUBMISSION OF FINAL REPORT.

1.1. PROJECT NUMBER

Category (C,S,P)	Year proposal submitted	Priority (H,M,L)	Focus area (C1–C7, P1–P7)	Unique Number

This number was provided to you once your project was submitted to the RMRD SA for consideration of funding. Use this specific project number as main identification on all correspondence with the RMRD SA.

1.2. FULL TITLE OF PROJECT

The title should preferably be a maximum 16 words. It must include the name(s) of the animal species and/or products concerned. No abbreviations are allowed.

1.3. SHORT TITLE OF PROJECT

The short title should be a maximum of 6 words. The short title is used for future administrative purposes. In correspondence this title will be used and should not be changed throughout the duration of the project.



RED MEAT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SA

FINAL REPORT Guidelines 2018-2020

1.4. PLANNING COMMITTEE

Tick under which Planning Committee the research project falls. Both can be selected in cases if the research is applicable to both the Cattle and Small-stock, and the Pork Planning Committees.

1.5. FOCUS AREA

Select the appropriate focus areas under the applicable Planning Committees.

1.6. COMPONENT NUMBER, OUTCOME AND PRIOTITY

Please refer to the Research and Development Plan of the specific Planning Committee. Only indicate the component number, outcome number and the priority (A, B, C or D) as listed in the Research and Development Plan.

1.7. RESPONSIBLE RESEARCHER

Please indicate title, full names, surname and highest qualification of the responsible researcher for this research project. All correspondence will be directed to this person. If an alternative responsible researcher is appointed, the RMRD-SA needs to be informed in writing.

1.8. ALTERNATIVE RESPONSIBLE RESEARCHER

An alternative responsible researcher needs to be identified in the case where the project leader is a student, or not registered by a professional council.

1.9. RESEARCH INSTITUTION (S)

Please list the University name, Faculty and Department or Council, Institute and Division, or other of the responsible researcher. If other research institutions are also involved, please identify these as well.

1.10. ADDRESS AND CONTACT DETAILS OF RESPONSIBLE RESEARCHER

Indicate contact details to which future correspondence will be made.

1.11. REGISTRATION WITH PROFESSIONAL COUNCIL

Indicate researcher name, full name of the relevant professional council as well as the researchers' registration number. Relevant professional councils include, but are not limited to, the South African Veterinary Council and the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions.



RED MEAT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SA

FINAL REPORT Guidelines 2018-2020

1.12. DATE OF SUBMISSION OF FINAL REPORT

Insert date when this final report was submitted to RMRD SA.

1.13. DATE OF COMMENCEMENT OF PROJECT

Insert actual date when research project commenced.

1.14. DURATION OF PROJECT

Tick if the project duration is one, two or three years. Select only one.

2. PERSONNEL

2.1. ALL TEAM MEMBER(S) (Title, initials, surname, highest qualification)

This can be copied from the approved Project Protocol if no changes were made.

Indicate all the team members who were involved in the research (past and present). Include the name of the responsible researcher. Indicate title, initials, surname and highest qualification.

3. AIM(S) OF THE PROJECT

This can be copied from the approved Project Protocol if no changes were made.

Projects funded by the RMRD-SA run over a maximum period of three years. Please indicate the aims of the research for these three years. A maximum of one sentence per aim is allowed (concise sentencing preferred). The aims should encompass the ultimate aim of the research project.

4. TIME SCHEDULE

Complete 4.1 as indicated in the Project Protocol. If this has changed, please complete 4.2.

5. ALL EXTERNAL FUNDS OBTAINED IN PREVIOUS YEAR (From various funders)

Indicate the amount of funds from all external sources, including RMRDT, Thrip as well as all other external sources. Indicate the amounts which were approved and the amounts used for the lifetime of the project. Indicate in the remarks section additional comments on funds not used, e.g. *roll-over to next year*.

6. OUTPUTS AND OTHER CRITERIA EVALUATION (ATTACH AS ADDENDUMS)

Indicate if each of the outputs has been achieved for the project thus far. Indicate YES/ NO, and indicate how many of each in the NUMBER column. **It is compulsory to attach each of these outputs as an addendum, and submit these electronically.**



FINAL REPORT Guidelines 2018-2020

7. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In a complete, yet concise paragraph (approximately 500 words) summarise the most important aspects of the completed research project, e.g. aim, methodology and findings. Please note that this should be a stand-alone paragraph, which will be published on the RMRD website.

8. COMPULSORY ATTACHMENTS

It is compulsory to attach the following documents as addendums and also submit them electronically:

1. **Popular article:** This article should be written in laymen's terms as it will be targeted at informed consumers. It should be approximately 2000 words and include an introduction including the main aims, the methodology followed and the main findings. This article will be published by the RMRD in one of numerous magazines.
2. **Photograph of the principle researcher:** A photograph of the principle researcher needs to be supplied both attached and electronically.
3. **2 to 3 photographs of the research project:** Please supply two or three publishable photographs, taken during the execution of the research, which will give insights to the reader about the research done. Even if the research was only a review, photographs of researchers reading books, working on computers etc. will be acceptable.
4. **Comprehensive Project Report:** This report should include the following:
 - a) Project title
 - b) Name(s) of author(s)
 - c) Institutions
 - d) Executive summary
 - e) Date of submission
 - f) Introduction, including motivation and aims
 - g) Materials and methods
 - h) Results
 - i) Discussion of results
 - j) Conclusions
 - k) Implications
 - l) Recommendations
 - m) References

9. EVALUATION BY RESEARCHER

Each principle researcher needs to evaluate his/her own project based on selected requirements, by scoring these attributes on a scale from 1 to 5; 1 being poor, 5 being excellent. Please use the following as a guideline to allocate scores to each requirement.

- a) Achievement of aims:



RED MEAT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SA

FINAL REPORT Guidelines 2018-2020

1. Not achieved
 2. Only a limited amount of the aims have been achieved
 3. Half of the aims have been achieved
 4. Most aims have been achieved
 5. All aims have been achieved
- b) Presentation of Final Report
1. Poor
 2. Below average
 3. Average
 4. Above average
 5. Excellent
- c) Scientific academic value of the research
1. No new information
 2. New information with no scientific academic value
 3. New information with little scientific academic value
 4. New information with some scientific academic value
 5. New information with significant academic value
- d) Practical value of the research for the Red Meat Industry
1. No new information
 2. New information with no practical value for the Red Meat Industry
 3. New information with little practical value for the Red Meat Industry
 4. New information with some practical value for the Red Meat Industry
 5. New information with significant practical value for the Red Meat Industry
- e) Value for money
1. Poor value for money
 2. Below average value for money
 3. Average value for money
 4. Above average value for money
 5. Excellent value for money
- f) Please provide additional comments if applicable, e.g. valid explanations for low scores.